arXiv:1402.6831v1 [math.MG] 27 Feb 2014

LIMIT FORMULAS FOR METRIC MEASURE INVARIANTS AND PHASE TRANSITION PROPERTY

RYUNOSUKE OZAWA AND TAKASHI SHIOYA

Abstract. We generalize the observable diameter and the separation distance for metric measure spaces to those for pyramids, and prove some limit formulas for these invariants for a convergent sequence of pyramids. We obtain various applications of our limit formulas as follows. We have a criterion of the phase transition property for a sequence of metric measure spaces or pyramids, and find some examples of symmetric spaces of noncompact type with the phase transition property. We also give a simple proof of a theorem in [5] on the limit of an N-Lévy family.

1. Introduction

The study of Gromov-Hausdorff convergence of Riemannian manifolds is one of the central topics in Riemannian geometry. For a Gromov-Hausdorff convergence, the upper bound of dimension is necessary for various reasons. One of the main reasons is that the Gromov-Hausdorff precompactness cannot be expected for a sequence of manifolds with unbounded dimension. Different from the Gromov-Hausdorff metric, Gromov [7, §3.$\frac{1}{2}$] introduced the observable distance function, say d_{conc}, on the set, say $\mathcal{X}$, of mm-spaces (metric measure spaces), based on the idea of the concentration of measure phenomenon due to Lévy and Milman. He constructed a natural compactification, say Π, of $(\mathcal{X}, d_{\mathrm{conc}})$, which is useful to describe the asymptotic behavior of a sequence of Riemannian manifolds with unbounded dimension. In general, the limit of a sequence of manifolds is no longer an mm-space and is an element of Π. We have $\{S^n(\sqrt{n})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ as a typical example of such a sequence, where $S^n(r)$ denotes an n-dimensional sphere of radius r in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The sequence $\{S^n(\sqrt{n})\}$ converges to an element of Π, called the *virtual infinite-dimensional standard Gaussian space*, which is the infinite-dimensional version of a Euclidean space

Date: February 28, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C23.

Key words and phrases. metric measure space, concentration, observable distance, separation distance, pyramid, Lévy family, dissipation, phase transition.

The authors are partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The first author is supported by Research Fellowships of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Young Scientists.

with the standard Gaussian measure (see [13, 14]). On the other hand, $\{S^n(\sqrt{n})\}$ is not Gromov-Hausdorff precompact and has no Gromov-Hausdorff convergent subsequence.

The observable diameter and the separation distance are two of the most important and fundamental invariants of an mm-space. It is a natural problem to investigate the limit of these two invariants for a convergent sequence of mm-spaces. In this paper, we generalize these two invariants to those for an element of Π, and prove some formulas for the limit of these two invariants for a convergent sequence in Π. We apply these formulas to study the asymptotic behavior of a sequence of Riemannian manifolds with unbounded dimension. The Lévy family property and the ∞-dissipation property for a sequence of mm-spaces (pyramids) are two of the extremal properties in the asymptotic behavior. The Lévy family property corresponds to condensation and the ∞-dissipation property does to evaporation. We consider a property like the phase transition for a sequence of mm-spaces or pyramids, say the *phase transition property*. We obtain a useful criterion for the phase transition property, and prove that some symmetric spaces of compact type have the phase transition property.

We describe more details for the compactification Π of $\mathcal{X}$. For two mm-spaces X and Y, we define that $X \prec Y$ holds if there is a 1-Lipschitz map from Y to X that pushes the measure on Y forward to that on X. This is a partial order relation, called the *Lipschitz order relation*. We define a *pyramid* to be a family of mm-spaces forming a directed set with respect to the Lipschitz order and with some closedness condition (see Definition 2.21). For example, for a given mm-space X, the family

$$\mathcal{P}_X := \{\, Y \in \mathcal{X} \mid Y \prec X \,\}$$

is a pyramid, say the *pyramid associated with* X. The compactification Π of $\mathcal{X}$ is, in fact, realized as the family of pyramids. It has a natural metric and the map

$$\iota : \mathcal{X} \ni X \longmapsto \mathcal{P}_X \in \Pi$$

is a topological embedding map. The pyramid associated with a one-point mm-space $*$ is $\mathcal{P}_* = \{*\}$, which is the minimal pyramid with respect to the inclusion relation. The family $\mathcal{X}$ itself is the maximal pyramid. A sequence of mm-spaces (resp. pyramids) is a *Lévy family* if and only if it converges to a one-point mm-space (resp. $\mathcal{P}_*$). See Corollary 5.8. A sequence of mm-spaces (resp. pyramids) ∞-*dissipates* if and only if the sequence of pyramids associated with them (resp. the sequence itself) converges to the maximal pyramid $\mathcal{X}$ (see Lemma 6.3).

We generalize the observable diameter and the separation distance to those for a pyramid, and prove the following limit formulas. Denote by $\mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}; -\kappa)$ and $\mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N)$ the observable diameter and the separation distance of a pyramid $\mathcal{P}$, respectively (see

Definitions 2.4, 2.8, 3.2, and 4.3). Convergence in Π is called *weak convergence*.

Theorem 1.1 (Limit formulas)**.** *Let $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, be pyramids. If $\mathcal{P}_n$ converges weakly to $\mathcal{P}$ as $n \to \infty$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}; -\kappa) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}_n; -(\kappa+\varepsilon)) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}_n; -(\kappa+\varepsilon)), \\ \mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}_n; \kappa_0 - \varepsilon, \kappa_1 - \varepsilon, \dots, \kappa_N - \varepsilon) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}_n; \kappa_0 - \varepsilon, \kappa_1 - \varepsilon, \dots, \kappa_N - \varepsilon)\end{aligned}$$

for any $\kappa, \kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N > 0$.

Elek [4] proved a similar result, which is only an inequality and for another compactification of the space $\mathcal{X}$ with a stronger topology. He also assumes the boundedness of diameter for a sequence of mm-spaces, so that $\{S^n(\sqrt{n})\}$ cannot be treated in his result.

For the proof of Theorem 1.1, we introduce a new metric on Π using measurements, and prove some formulas between the metric and the observable diameter/the separation distance.

We consider the limit behavior of a given sequence of mm-spaces (or pyramids) under scale changes. For $t > 0$ and a pyramid $\mathcal{P}$, we denote by $t\mathcal{P}$ the scale change of $\mathcal{P}$ with factor t. We define that a sequence of pyramids $\mathcal{P}_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, has *the phase transition property* if there is a sequence of positive real numbers c_n, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that

(1) if $t_n/c_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, then $\{t_n\mathcal{P}_n\}$ is a Lévy family;
(2) if $t_n/c_n \to +\infty$ as $n \to \infty$, then $\{t_n\mathcal{P}_n\}$ ∞-dissipates.

We call such a sequence $\{c_n\}$ a sequence of *critical scale order*. The second named author proved in [13, 14] that the sequences of spheres $S^n(1)$ and complex projective spaces $\mathbb{C}P^n$ both have the phase transition property with critical scale order $\sim \sqrt{n}$. Note that there are many examples of manifolds that do not have the phase transition property. We intuitively expect spaces with high symmetry to admit the phase transition property. We apply the limit formulas (Theorem 1.1) to obtain the following criterion for the phase transition property.

Theorem 1.2 (Criterion for phase transition property)**.** *Let $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ be a sequence of pyramids. Then the following* (1) *and* (2) *are equivalent to each other.*

(1) *$\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ has the phase transition property.*
(2) *There exists a sequence $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of positive real numbers such that*

$$\mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}_n; -\kappa) \sim r_n$$

for any κ with $0 < \kappa < 1$, where $a_n \sim b_n$ means that the ratios a_n/b_n and b_n/a_n are bounded.

In this case, $\{1/r_n\}$ *is a sequence of critical scale order.*

Note that (2) of the theorem means that the order of $\mathrm{ObsDiam}(X_n; -\kappa)$ as $n \to \infty$ is independent of κ. The theorem is a first discovery for the value of the lower estimate of the observable diameter.

We give an application of Theorem 1.2. Let $\mathbb{R}P^n$, $\mathbb{C}P^n$, $\mathbb{H}P^n$ denote the n-dimensional real, complex, and quaternionic projective spaces, respectively. $SO(n)$, $SU(n)$, and $Sp(n)$ denote the special orthogonal group of order n, the special unitary group of order n, and the compact symplectic group of order n. $V_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $V_k(\mathbb{C}^n)$, and $V_k(\mathbb{H}^n)$ denote the real, complex, and quaternionic Stiefel manifolds, respectively. We equip them with the Riemannian distance function and the normalized Riemannian volume measure.

Corollary 1.3. *Let* $\{k_n\}$ *be a sequence of natural numbers with* $k_n \leq n$. *The sequences* $\{S^n(1)\}$, $\{\mathbb{R}P^n\}$, $\{\mathbb{C}P^n\}$, $\{\mathbb{H}P^n\}$, $\{SO(n)\}$, $\{SU(n)\}$, $\{Sp(n)\}$, $\{V_{k_n}(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$, $\{V_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}^n)\}$, *and* $\{V_{k_n}(\mathbb{H}^n)\}$ *all have the phase transition property of critical scale order* $\sim \sqrt{n}$.

The corollary for $\{S^n(1)\}$ and $\{\mathbb{C}P^n\}$ is already known as in [14, Theorem 1.1].

The limit formulas are also useful to study an N-Lévy family, which is defined in [5] by using the separation distance (see Definition 5.1). A 1-Lévy family coincides with a Lévy family. A typical example of an N-Lévy family is a sequence of closed Riemannian manifolds M_n, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, such that the N-th nonzero eigenvalue of the Laplacian on M_n is divergent as $n \to \infty$. We prove that the limit of an N-Lévy family is the pyramid associated with some finite extended mm-space consists of at most N points (see Corollary 5.6), where 'extended' means that the distance between two points is allowed to be infinity. By using this statement, we give a simple proof of [5, Theorem 4.4] (see Corollary 5.9).

As another application of the limit formulas, we are able to estimate the observable diameter of the l_p-product X^n of an mm-space X, which together with Theorem 1.2 leads us to the phase transition property of the sequence of the l_p-product X^n, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. We here assume the discreteness of X in the case of $p > 1$ for the lower estimate of the observable diameter. This study is published separately as [12].

This paper is organized as follows. In §2, we describe basic definitions and facts in metric measure geometry. In §3, we define the observable diameter of a pyramid and prove the limit formula for observable diameter. In §4, we define the separation distance of a pyramid and prove the limit formula for separation distance. In §5, we study an N-Lévy family and prove that the limit of an N-Lévy family is realized by a finite extended mm-space. In particular, we see that a Lévy family of

pyramids converges to a one-point mm-space. In §6, we study dissipation and prove Theorem 1.2. Applying Theorem 1.2, we give several examples with the phase transition property.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank Prof. Takefumi Kondo and Dr. Yu Kitabeppu for inspiring discussions.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give the definitions and the facts stated in [7, §3$\frac{1}{2}$]. In [7, §3$\frac{1}{2}$], many details are omitted. We refer to [13] for the details. The reader is expected to be familiar with basic measure theory and metric geometry (cf. [1–3, 8]).

2.1. **mm-Isomorphism and Lipschitz order.**

Definition 2.1 (mm-Space)**.** An *mm-space* is defined to be a triple (X, d_X, μ_X), where (X, d_X) is a complete separable metric space and μ_X a Borel probability measure on X. We sometimes say that X is an mm-space, in which case the metric and the measure of X are respectively indicated by d_X and μ_X.

Definition 2.2 (mm-Isomorphism)**.** Two mm-spaces X and Y are said to be *mm-isomorphic* to each other if there exists an isometry $f : \operatorname{supp}\mu_X \to \operatorname{supp}\mu_Y$ such that $f_*\mu_X = \mu_Y$, where $f_*\mu_X$ is the push-forward of μ_X by f. Such an isometry f is called an *mm-isomorphism*. Denote by $\mathcal{X}$ the set of mm-isomorphism classes of mm-spaces.

Any mm-isomorphism between mm-spaces is automatically surjective, even if we do not assume it. Note that X is mm-isomorphic to $(\operatorname{supp}\mu_X, d_X, \mu_X)$.

We assume that an mm-space X satisfies

$$X = \operatorname{supp}\mu_X$$

unless otherwise stated.

Definition 2.3 (Lipschitz order)**.** Let X and Y be two mm-spaces. We say that X (*Lipschitz*) *dominates* Y and write $Y \prec X$ if there exists a 1-Lipschitz map $f : X \to Y$ with $f_*\mu_X = \mu_Y$. We call the relation $\prec$ on $\mathcal{X}$ the *Lipschitz order*.

The Lipschitz order $\prec$ is a partial order relation on $\mathcal{X}$

2.2. **Observable diameter.**

The observable diameter is one of the most fundamental invariants of an mm-space.

Definition 2.4 (Partial and observable diameter)**.** Let X be an mm-space. For a real number α, we define the *partial diameter* $\operatorname{diam}(X;\alpha) = \operatorname{diam}(\mu_X;\alpha)$ *of* X to be the infimum of $\operatorname{diam}(A)$, where $A \subset X$ runs

over all Borel subsets with $\mu_X(A) \geq \alpha$ and $\mathrm{diam}(A)$ denotes the diameter of A. For a real number $\kappa > 0$, we define the *observable diameter of* X to be

$$\mathrm{ObsDiam}(X; -\kappa) := \sup\{\, \mathrm{diam}(f_*\mu_X; 1-\kappa) \mid f : X \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is 1-Lipschitz continuous } \}.$$

The observable diameter is an invariant under mm-isomorphism. Clearly, $\mathrm{ObsDiam}(X; -\kappa)$ is monotone nonincreasing in $\kappa > 0$. Note that $\mathrm{ObsDiam}(X; -\kappa) = \mathrm{diam}(X; 1-\kappa) = 0$ for $\kappa \geq 1$.

Definition 2.5 (Lévy family)**.** A sequence of mm-spaces X_n, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, is called a *Lévy family* if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{ObsDiam}(X_n; -\kappa) = 0$$

for any $\kappa > 0$.

For an mm-space X and a real number $t > 0$, we define tX to be the mm-space X with the scaled metric $d_{tX} := t d_X$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let X be an mm-space. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{ObsDiam}(tX; -\kappa) = t\,\mathrm{ObsDiam}(X; -\kappa)$$

for any $t, \kappa > 0$.

Proposition 2.7. *If $X \prec Y$, then*

$$\mathrm{ObsDiam}(X; -\kappa) \leq \mathrm{ObsDiam}(Y; -\kappa)$$

for any $\kappa > 0$.

2.3. **Separation distance.**

Definition 2.8 (Separation distance)**.** Let X be an mm-space. For any real numbers $\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \cdots, \kappa_N > 0$ with $N \geq 1$, we define the *separation distance*

$$\mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \cdots, \kappa_N)$$

of X as the supremum of $\min_{i\neq j} d_X(A_i, A_j)$ over all sequences of $N+1$ Borel subsets $A_0, A_2, \cdots, A_N \subset X$ satisfying that $\mu_X(A_i) \geq \kappa_i$ for all $i = 0, 1, \cdots, N$, where $d_X(A_i, A_j) := \inf_{x\in A_i, y\in A_j} d_X(x, y)$. If there exists no sequence $A_0, \dots, A_N \subset X$ with $\mu_X(A_i) \geq \kappa_i$, $i = 0, 1, \cdots, N$, then we define

$$\mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \cdots, \kappa_N) := 0.$$

We see that $\mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \cdots, \kappa_N)$ is monotone nonincreasing in κ_i for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$. The separation distance is an invariant under mm-isomorphism.

Proposition 2.9. *Let X be an mm-space. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{Sep}(tX; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N) = t\,\mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N)$$

for any $t, \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N > 0$.

Proposition 2.10. *Let X and Y be two mm-spaces. If X is dominated by Y, then we have, for any real numbers $\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N > 0$,*

$$\mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N) \le \mathrm{Sep}(Y; \kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N).$$

Proposition 2.11. *For any mm-space X and any real numbers κ and κ' with $\kappa > \kappa' > 0$, we have*

$$\mathrm{ObsDiam}(X; -2\kappa) \le \mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa, \kappa), \tag{1}$$

$$\mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa, \kappa) \le \mathrm{ObsDiam}(X; -\kappa'). \tag{2}$$

2.4. **Box distance and observable distance.** For a subset A of a metric space (X, d_X) and for a real number $r > 0$, we set

$$U_r(A) := \{\, x \in X \mid d_X(x, A) < r \,\},$$

where $d_X(x, A) := \inf_{a \in A} d_X(x, a)$.

Definition 2.12 (Prokhorov distance)**.** The *Prokhorov distance $d_P(\mu, \nu)$ between two Borel probability measures μ and ν on a metric space X* is defined to be the infimum of $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfying

$$\mu(U_\varepsilon(A)) \ge \nu(A) - \varepsilon \tag{2.1}$$

for any Borel subset $A \subset X$.

The Prokhorov metric is a metrization of weak convergence of Borel probability measures on X provided that X is a separable metric space.

Definition 2.13 (me)**.** Let (X, μ) be a measure space and Y a metric space. For two μ-measurable maps $f, g : X \to Y$, we define $\mathrm{me}_\mu(f, g)$ to be the infimum of $\varepsilon \ge 0$ satisfying

$$\mu(\{\, x \in X \mid d_Y(f(x), g(x)) > \varepsilon \,\}) \le \varepsilon. \tag{2.2}$$

We sometimes write $\mathrm{me}(f, g)$ by omitting μ.

me_μ is a metric on the set of μ-measurable maps from X to Y by identifying two maps if they are equal μ-a.e.

Lemma 2.14. *Let X be a topological space with a Borel probability measure μ and Y a metric space. For any two μ-measurable maps $f, g : X \to Y$, we have*

$$d_P(f_*\mu, g_*\mu) \le \mathrm{me}_\mu(f, g).$$

Definition 2.15 (Parameter)**.** Let $I := [\,0, 1\,)$ and let X be an mm-space. A map $\varphi : I \to X$ is called a *parameter of X* if φ is a Borel measurable map such that

$$\varphi_*\mathcal{L}^1 = \mu_X,$$

where $\mathcal{L}^1$ denotes the one-dimensional Lebesgue measure on I.

Any mm-space has a parameter.

Definition 2.16 (Box distance)**.** We define the *box distance* $\square(X,Y)$ *between two mm-spaces* X *and* Y to be the infimum of $\varepsilon \geq 0$ satisfying that there exist parameters $\varphi : I \to X$, $\psi : I \to Y$, and a Borel subset $I_0 \subset I$ such that

$$|\,\varphi^* d_X(s,t) - \psi^* d_Y(s,t)\,| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for any } s,t \in I_0; \tag{1}$$

$$\mathcal{L}^1(I_0) \geq 1-\varepsilon, \tag{2}$$

where $\varphi^* d_X(s,t) := d_X(\varphi(s),\varphi(t))$ for $s,t \in I$.

The box distance function $\square$ is a complete separable metric on $\mathcal{X}$.

Lemma 2.17. *Let* X *be a complete separable metric space. For any two Borel probability measures* μ *and* ν *on* X*, we have*

$$\square((X,\mu),(X,\nu)) \leq 2\, d_P(\mu,\nu).$$

Definition 2.18 (Observable distance $d_{\mathrm{conc}}(X,Y)$)**.** Denote by $\mathcal{L}ip_1(X)$ the set of 1-Lipschitz continuous functions on an mm-space X. For any parameter φ of X, we set

$$\varphi^*\mathcal{L}ip_1(X) := \{\, f \circ \varphi \mid f \in \mathcal{L}ip_1(X) \,\}.$$

We define the *observable distance* $d_{\mathrm{conc}}(X,Y)$ *between two mm-spaces* X *and* Y by

$$d_{\mathrm{conc}}(X,Y) := \inf_{\varphi,\psi} d_H(\varphi^*\mathcal{L}ip_1(X), \psi^*\mathcal{L}ip_1(Y)),$$

where $\varphi : I \to X$ and $\psi : I \to Y$ run over all parameters of X and Y, respectively, and where d_H is the Hausdorff distance with respect to the metric $\mathrm{me}_{\mathcal{L}^1}$. We say that a sequence of mm-spaces X_n, $n = 1,2,\dots$, *concentrates* to an mm-space X if X_n d_{conc}-converges to X as $n \to \infty$.

Proposition 2.19. *Let* $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ *be a sequence of mm-spaces. Then,* $\{X_n\}$ *is a Lévy family if and only if* X_n *concentrates to a one-point mm-space as* $n \to \infty$.

Proposition 2.20. *For any two mm-spaces* X *and* Y *we have*

$$d_{\mathrm{conc}}(X,Y) \leq \square(X,Y).$$

2.5. **Pyramid.**

Definition 2.21 (Pyramid)**.** A subset $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is called a *pyramid* if it satisfies the following (1), (2), and (3).

(1) If $X \in \mathcal{P}$ and if $Y \prec X$, then $Y \in \mathcal{P}$.
(2) For any two mm-spaces $X, X' \in \mathcal{P}$, there exists an mm-space $Y \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $X \prec Y$ and $X' \prec Y$.
(3) $\mathcal{P}$ is nonempty and $\square$-closed.

We denote the set of pyramids by Π.

For an mm-space X we define

$$\mathcal{P}_X := \{\, X' \in \mathcal{X} \mid X' \prec X \,\}.$$

We call $\mathcal{P}_X$ the *pyramid associated with* X.

It is trivial that $\mathcal{X}$ is a pyramid. Let $*$ denotes a one-point mm-space, i.e., an mm-space consists of a single point. Then we see $\mathcal{P}_* = \{*\}$.

In Gromov's book [7], the definition of a pyramid is only by (1) and (2) of Definition 2.21. We here put (3) as an additional condition for the Hausdorff property of Π.

Definition 2.22. We say that a sequence of mm-spaces X_n, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, *approximates a pyramid* $\mathcal{P}$ if we have

$$X_1 \prec X_2 \prec \cdots \prec X_n \prec \cdots \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n}^{\square} = \mathcal{P},$$

where the bar with $\square$ means the closure with respect to $\square$.

Definition 2.23 (Weak convergence)**.** Let $\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P} \in \Pi$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. We say that $\mathcal{P}_n$ *converges weakly to* $\mathcal{P}$ as $n \to \infty$ if the following (1) and (2) are both satisfied.

(1) For any mm-space $X \in \mathcal{P}$, we have
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \square(X, \mathcal{P}_n) = 0.$$
(2) For any mm-space $X \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{P}$, we have
$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \square(X, \mathcal{P}_n) > 0.$$

Theorem 2.24 (Gromov, Shioya [7, 13, 14])**.** *There exists a metric ρ on Π satisfying the following* (1)–(4)*.*

(1) *The metric ρ is a metrization of weak convergence.*
(2) *The metric space (Π, ρ) is compact.*
(3) *The map $\mathcal{X} \ni X \mapsto \mathcal{P}_X \in \Pi$ is a topological embedding with respect to d_{conc} and ρ, and its image is dense in Π. In particular, Π is a compactification of $(\mathcal{X}, d_{\mathrm{conc}})$.*
(4) *For any two mm-spaces X and Y, we have*
$$\rho(\mathcal{P}_X, \mathcal{P}_Y) \le d_{\mathrm{conc}}(X, Y).$$

2.6. **Measurement.**

Definition 2.25 ($\mathcal{M}(N)$, $\mathcal{M}(N, R)$, $\mathcal{X}(N, R)$)**.** Let N be a natural number and R a nonnegative real number. Denote by $\mathcal{M}(N)$ the set of Borel probability measures on $\mathbb{R}^N$ equipped with the Prokhorov metric d_P, and set

$$\mathcal{M}(N, R) := \{\, \mu \in \mathcal{M}(N) \mid \operatorname{supp}\mu \subset B_R^N \,\},$$

where $B_R^N := \{\, x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid \|x\|_\infty \le R \,\}$ and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the l_∞-norm on $\mathbb{R}^N$. We define

$$\mathcal{X}(N, R) := \{\, (B_R^N, \|\cdot\|_\infty, \mu) \mid \mu \in \mathcal{M}(N, R) \,\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{M}(N, R)$ and $\mathcal{X}(N, R)$ are compact with respect to d_P and $\square$, respectively.

Definition 2.26 (N-Measurement)**.** Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a pyramid, N a natural number, and R a nonnegative real number. We define

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P};N) := \{\ \mu \in \mathcal{M}(N) \mid (\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|_\infty, \mu) \in \mathcal{P}\ \},$$
$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P};N,R) := \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P};N) \cap \mathcal{M}(N,R).$$

We call $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P};N)$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P};N,R)$) the *$N$-measurement* (resp. *$(N,R)$-measurement*) *of* $\mathcal{P}$. For an mm-space X, we define $\mathcal{M}(X;N) := \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}_X;N)$ and $\mathcal{M}(X;N,R) := \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}_X;N,R)$.

The N-measurement $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P};N)$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{M}(N)$ and the (N,R)-measurement $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P};N,R)$ is a compact subset of $\mathcal{M}(N)$. We see

$$\mathcal{M}(X;N) := \{\ \Phi_*\mu_X \mid \Phi : X \to (\mathbb{R}^N, \|\cdot\|_\infty) \text{ is 1-Lipschitz}\ \}.$$

Lemma 2.27 ([14, Lemma 3.6], [13, Lemma 5.15])**.** *Let X and Y be two mm-spaces. For any natural number N we have*

$$d_H(\mathcal{M}(X;N), \mathcal{M}(Y;N)) \le N\, d_{\mathrm{conc}}(X,Y),$$

where d_H is the Hausdorff distance with respect to the Prohorov metric d_P.

Lemma 2.28 ([13, Lemma 5.41])**.** *Let $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}'$ be two pyramids. For any natural number N and nonnegative real number R, we have*

$$d_H(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P};N,R), \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}';N,R)) \le 2\, d_H(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P};N), \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}';N)).$$

3. Obsrevable Diameter for Pyramid

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be an mm-space. Then we have the following (1) and (2).*

1. *The partial diameter* $\mathrm{diam}(\mu_X; 1-\kappa)$ *is right-continuous in* $\kappa > 0$.
2. *The observable diameter* $\mathrm{ObsDiam}(X; -\kappa)$ *is right-continuous in* $\kappa > 0$.

Proof. We prove (1). Let $\{\delta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a monotone decreasing sequence of positive real numbers converging to zero. Then, $\mathrm{diam}(\mu_X; 1-(\kappa+\delta_n))$ is monotone nondecreasing in n and bounded from above by $\mathrm{diam}(\mu_X; 1-\kappa)$. Set

$$\alpha := \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{diam}(\mu_X; 1-(\kappa+\delta_n)).$$

It is clear that $\alpha \le \mathrm{diam}(\mu_X; 1-\kappa)$. For (1), it suffices to prove $\mathrm{diam}(\mu_X; 1-\kappa) \le \alpha$. There are closed subsets $A_n \subset X$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, with the property that $\mu_X(A_n) \ge 1-(\kappa+\delta_n)$ for any n and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{diam}(A_n) = \alpha.$$

We take a monotone decreasing sequence $\{\eta_p\}_{p=1}^\infty$ of positive real numbers converging to zero. The inner regularity of μ_X proves that there are compact subsets $K_p \subset X$, $p = 1, 2, \dots$, such that $\mu_X(K_p) > 1-\eta_p$

and $K_p \subset K_{p+1}$ for every p. We have $\mu_X(A_n \cap K_p) > 1 - (\kappa + \delta_n + \eta_p)$. Note that the set of closed subsets in K_p is compact with respect to the Hausdorff distance. Thus, there is a Hausdorff convergent subsequence of $\{A_n \cap K_p\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for each p. By a diagonal argument, we find a common subsequence $\{n(m)\}$ of $\{n\}$ in such a way that $A_{n(m)} \cap K_p$ Hausdorff converges as $m \to \infty$ for any p. Denote its limit by A_p. $\{A_p\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ is a monotone nondecreasing sequence of compact subsets of X satisfying that $\mu_X(A_p) \geq 1 - (\kappa + \eta_p)$ for any p. Setting

$$A := \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} A_p,$$

we have $\mu_X(A) \geq 1 - \kappa$. Since $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{diam}(A_n) \leq \alpha$, we obtain

$$\operatorname{diam}(\mu_X; 1 - \kappa) \leq \operatorname{diam}(A) \leq \alpha.$$

This completes the proof of (1).

We prove (2). Since $\operatorname{ObsDiam}(X; -(\kappa+\delta))$ is monotone nonincreasing in δ, we have

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0+} \operatorname{ObsDiam}(X; -(\kappa + \delta)) \leq \operatorname{ObsDiam}(X; -\kappa).$$

By (1),

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ObsDiam}(X; -\kappa) &= \sup_{f\in \mathcal{L}ip_1(X)} \operatorname{diam}(f_*\mu_X; 1 - \kappa) \\
&= \sup_{f\in \mathcal{L}ip_1(X)} \lim_{\delta\to 0+} \operatorname{diam}(f_*\mu_X; 1 - (\kappa + \delta)) \\
&\leq \lim_{\delta\to 0+} \operatorname{ObsDiam}(X; -(\kappa + \delta)).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Note that $\operatorname{diam}(\mu_X; 1-\kappa)$ and $\operatorname{ObsDiam}(X; -\kappa)$ are not necessarily left-continuous in κ, e.g., for a discrete space.

Definition 3.2 (Observable diameter of pyramid)**.** Let $\kappa > 0$. The *κ-observable diameter of a pyramid $\mathcal{P}$* is defined to be

$$\operatorname{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}; -\kappa) := \lim_{\delta\to 0+} \sup_{X\in\mathcal{P}} \operatorname{ObsDiam}(X; -(\kappa + \delta)) \quad (\leq +\infty).$$

Note that $\sup_{X\in\mathcal{P}} \operatorname{ObsDiam}(X; -(\kappa+\delta))$ is monotone nonincreasing in δ, so that the above limit always exists. It follows from Definition 3.2 that $\operatorname{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}; -\kappa)$ is right-continuous in $\kappa > 0$.

The following means the consistency of the definition.

Proposition 3.3. *For any mm-space X we have*

$$\operatorname{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}_X; -\kappa) = \operatorname{ObsDiam}(X; -\kappa)$$

for any $\kappa > 0$.

Proof. The proposition follows from Proposition 2.7 and Lemma 3.1. □

For a pyramid $\mathcal{P}$ and a real number $t > 0$, we define

$$t\mathcal{P} := \{\, tX \mid X \in \mathcal{P} \,\}.$$

The following proposition is obvious.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a pyramid. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{ObsDiam}(t\mathcal{P}; -\kappa) = t\,\mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}; -\kappa)$$

for any $t, \kappa > 0$.

Definition 3.5 (ρ_R)**.** For two pyramids $\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{P}'$, and for a positive real number R, we define

$$\rho_R(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}') := \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N\,2^{N+1}} d_H(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}; N, NR), \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}', N, NR)),$$

where d_H is the Hausdorff distance with respect to the Prokhorov metric.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, be pyramids. Then the following* (1), (2), *and* (3) *are all equivalent to each other.*

1. *$\mathcal{P}_n$ converges weakly to $\mathcal{P}$ as $n \to \infty$.*
2. *$\mathcal{P}_n \cap \mathcal{X}(N, R)$ Hausdorff converges to $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{X}(N, R)$ as $n \to \infty$ for any natural number N and any nonnegative real number R, where the Hausdorff distance is induced from $\square$.*
3. *$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}_n; N, R)$ Hausdorff converges to $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}; N, R)$ as $n \to \infty$ for any natural number N and any nonnegative real number R, where the Hausdorff distance is induced from d_P.*

Proof. '(1) $\iff$ (2)' follows from [13, Lemma 6.18].

'(3) $\implies$ (2)' follows from

$$d_H(\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{X}(N, R), \mathcal{P}' \cap \mathcal{X}(N, R)) \le 2 d_H(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}; N, R), \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}'; N, R)),$$

which is implied by Lemma 2.17.

'(2) $\implies$ (3)' follows from [13, Lemma 7.23]. This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 3.7. *We have the following* (1), (2), *and* (3).

1. *ρ_R for each $R > 0$ is a metric on Π compatible with weak convergence.*
2. *For any two pyramids $\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{P}'$, for any natural number N, and for any positive real number R, we have*

$$d_H(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}; N, NR), \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}'; N, NR)) \le N\,2^{N+1} \rho_R(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}').$$

3. *For any two mm-spaces X, Y, and any positive real number R,*

$$\rho_R(\mathcal{P}_X, \mathcal{P}_Y) \le d_{\mathrm{conc}}(X, Y).$$

Proof. (1) follows from Lemma 3.6.

(2) is obvious.

By Lemmas 2.27 and 2.28, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_H(\mathcal{M}(X;N,NR),\mathcal{M}(Y;N,NR)) &\le 2\, d_H(\mathcal{M}(X;N),\mathcal{M}(Y;N)) \\ &\le 2N\, d_{\mathrm{conc}}(X,Y), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (3). This completes the proof. □

Lemma 3.8. *Let μ and ν be two Borel probability measures on $\mathbb{R}$ and ε a positive real number. If $d_P(\mu,\nu) < \varepsilon$, then*

$$\mathrm{diam}(\mu; 1-(\kappa+\varepsilon)) \le \mathrm{diam}(\nu; 1-\kappa) + 2\varepsilon.$$

for any $\kappa > 0$.

Proof. Since $\mu(U_\varepsilon(A)) \ge \nu(A) - \varepsilon$ for any Borel subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{diam}(\nu; 1-\kappa) &= \inf\{\, \mathrm{diam}(A) \mid \nu(A) \ge 1-\kappa \,\} \\ &\ge \inf\{\, \mathrm{diam}(A) \mid \mu(U_\varepsilon(A)) \ge 1-\kappa-\varepsilon \,\} \\ &\ge \inf\{\, \mathrm{diam}(B) \mid \mu(B) \ge 1-\kappa-\varepsilon \,\} - 2\varepsilon \\ &= \mathrm{diam}(\mu; 1-\kappa-\varepsilon) - 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 3.9 (diam^D, $\mathrm{ObsDiam}^D$)**.** For an mm-space X and a non-negative real number D, we set

$$\mathrm{diam}^D(\mu_X; 1-\kappa) := \min\{\, \mathrm{diam}(\mu_X; 1-\kappa), D \,\},$$
$$\mathrm{ObsDiam}^D(X; -\kappa) := \min\{\, \mathrm{ObsDiam}(X; -\kappa), D \,\}.$$

Lemma 3.10. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}'$ be two pyramids. If we have*

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}; 1, R) \subset U_\varepsilon(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}'; 1, R))$$

for two positive real numbers ε and R, then

$$\mathrm{ObsDiam}^{2R}(\mathcal{P}; -(\kappa+\varepsilon)) \le \mathrm{ObsDiam}^{2R}(\mathcal{P}'; -\kappa) + 2\varepsilon$$

for any $\kappa > 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{ObsDiam}^{2R}(\mathcal{P}; -(\kappa+\varepsilon)) \\ &= \lim_{\delta\to 0+} \sup_{X\in\mathcal{P},\, f\in\mathcal{L}ip_1(X)} \mathrm{diam}^{2R}(f_*\mu_X; -(\kappa+\varepsilon+\delta)) \\ &= \lim_{\delta\to 0+} \sup_{X\in\mathcal{P},\, f\in\mathcal{L}ip_1(X),\, f(X)\subset[-R,R]} \mathrm{diam}(f_*\mu_X; -(\kappa+\varepsilon+\delta)) \\ &= \lim_{\delta\to 0+} \sup_{\mu\in\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P};1,R)} \mathrm{diam}(\mu; -(\kappa+\varepsilon+\delta)) \\ &\le \lim_{\delta\to 0+} \sup_{\mu'\in\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}';1,R)} \mathrm{diam}(\mu'; -(\kappa+\delta)) + 2\varepsilon \\ &= \mathrm{ObsDiam}^{2R}(\mathcal{P}'; -\kappa) + 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Corollary 3.11. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}'$ be two pyramids. If $\rho_R(\mathcal{P},\mathcal{P}') < \varepsilon/4$ for two real numbers $\varepsilon, R > 0$, then*

$$\mathrm{ObsDiam}^{2R}(\mathcal{P}; -(\kappa+\varepsilon)) \le \mathrm{ObsDiam}^{2R}(\mathcal{P}'; -\kappa) + 2\varepsilon$$

for any $\kappa > 0$.

Proof. The corollary follows from Theorem 3.7(2) and Lemma 3.10. □

Theorem 3.12 (Limit formula for observable diameter)**.** *Let $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, be pyramids. If $\mathcal{P}_n$ converges weakly to $\mathcal{P}$ as $n \to \infty$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}; -\kappa) &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0+} \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}_n; -(\kappa+\varepsilon)) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0+} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}_n; -(\kappa+\varepsilon))\end{aligned}$$

for any $\kappa > 0$.

Proof. Let $\kappa, R > 0$ be any two fixed numbers. For any real number ε with $0 < \varepsilon < \kappa$, there is a number n_0 such that $\rho_R(\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P}) < \varepsilon/2$ for any $n \ge n_0$. Let $n \ge n_0$. Corollary 3.11 implies

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{ObsDiam}^{2R}(\mathcal{P}_n; -(\kappa+\varepsilon)) \le \mathrm{ObsDiam}^{2R}(\mathcal{P}; -\kappa) + 2\varepsilon, \\ &\mathrm{ObsDiam}^{2R}(\mathcal{P}; -(\kappa+2\varepsilon)) \le \mathrm{ObsDiam}^{2R}(\mathcal{P}_n; -(\kappa+\varepsilon)) + 2\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limits of these two inequalities as $n \to \infty$ and then $\varepsilon \to 0+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ObsDiam}^{2R}(\mathcal{P}; -\kappa) &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0+} \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{ObsDiam}^{2R}(\mathcal{P}_n; -(\kappa+\varepsilon)) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0+} \limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{ObsDiam}^{2R}(\mathcal{P}_n; -(\kappa+\varepsilon)).\end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for any $R > 0$, the proof is completed. □

Example 3.13. In our previous paper [14, Corollary 5.8], we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{ObsDiam}(S^n(r_n); -\kappa) = \mathrm{diam}(\gamma^1_{\lambda^2}; 1-\kappa) = 2\lambda I^{-1}((1-\kappa)/2)$$

for any sequence of positive real numbers r_n, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, with $r_n/\sqrt{n} \to \lambda$, and for any κ with $0 < \kappa < 1$, where $\gamma^1_{\lambda^2}$ denotes the one-dimensional centered Guassian measure on $\mathbb{R}$ with variance λ^2 and $I(r) := \gamma^1[\,0, r\,]$ for $r \ge 0$. Since $\mathcal{P}_{S^n(r_n)}$ converges weakly to the virtual infinite-dimensional Gaussian space $\Gamma^\infty_{\lambda^2}$ with variance λ^2 (see [14]), we have, by Theorem 3.12,

$$\mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma^\infty_{\lambda^2}}; -\kappa) = \mathrm{diam}(\gamma^1_{\lambda^2}; 1-\kappa) = 2\lambda I^{-1}((1-\kappa)/2)$$

for any κ and λ with $0 < \kappa < 1$ and $\lambda \ge 0$.

4. Separation Distance for Pyramid

Lemma 4.1. *Let X be an mm-space. Then we have*

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0+} \mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0 - \delta, \kappa_1 - \delta, \dots, \kappa_N - \delta) = \mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N)$$

for any $\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N > 0$ with $N \geq 1$.

Proof. Let $\{\delta_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a monotone decreasing sequence of positive real numbers converging to zero. Then, $\mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0 - \delta_n, \dots, \kappa_N - \delta_n)$ is monotone nonincreasing in n. We set

$$\beta := \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0 - \delta_n, \dots, \kappa_N - \delta_n).$$

Since $\mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0 - \delta_n, \dots, \kappa_N - \delta_n) \geq \mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N)$, we have $\beta \geq \mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N)$. It suffices to prove $\mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N) \geq \beta$. It follows from the definition of β that there are Borel subsets $A_0^n, A_1^n, \dots, A_N^n \subset X$ such that $\mu_X(A_i^n) \geq \kappa_i - \delta_n$ for any n and $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$, and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \min_{i\neq j} d_X(A_i^n, A_j^n) = \beta.$$

We may assume that each A_i^n is a closed set. Take a monotone decreasing sequence $\{\eta_p\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ of positive real numbers converging to zero. By the inner regularity of μ_X, there is a monotone nondecreasing sequence of compact subsets $K_p \subset X$, $p = 1, 2, \dots$, such that $\mu_X(K_p) > 1 - \eta_p$ for any p. Set

$$A_{i,p}^n := A_i^n \cap K_p.$$

Each $A_{i,p}^n$ is a compact set and satisfies $\mu_X(A_{i,p}^n) > \kappa_i - \delta_n - \eta_p > 0$. For each i and each p, the sequence $\{A_{i,p}^n\}_n$ has a Hausdorff convergent subsequence. By a diagonal argument, there is a common subsequence $\{n(m)\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that $A_{i,p}^{n(m)}$ Hausdorff converges to a compact subset of X, say $A_{i,p}$, for any i and p. $A_{i,p}$ is monotone nondecreasing in p and satisfies $\mu_X(A_{i,p}) \geq \kappa_i - \eta_p$. Setting

$$A_i := \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} A_{i,p},$$

we have $\mu_X(A_i) \geq \kappa_i$. Since

$$\min_{i\neq j} d_X(A_i^{n(m)}, A_j^{n(m)}) \leq \min_{i\neq j} d_X(A_{i,p}^{n(m)}, A_{j,p}^{n(m)})$$

we obtain

$$\beta \leq \min_{i\neq j} d_X(A_i, A_j) \leq \mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N).$$

This completes the proof. □

Remark 4.2. Lemma 4.1 and the monotonicity of $\mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N)$ in κ_i together imply that $\mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0 - \delta_0, \dots, \kappa_N - \delta_N)$ converges to $\mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N)$ as $\delta_0, \dots, \delta_N \to 0+$.

Definition 4.3 (Separation distance of pyramid)**.** For a pyramid $\mathcal{P}$ and $\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N > 0$, we define

$$\mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N) := \lim_{\delta \to 0+} \sup_{X \in \mathcal{P}} \mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0 - \delta, \kappa_1 - \delta, \dots, \kappa_N - \delta)$$
$$(\leq +\infty).$$

$\mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N)$ is left-continuous and monotone nonincreasing in $\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N$.

Proposition 4.4. *For any mm-space X we have*

$$\mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}_X; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N) = \mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N)$$

for any $\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N > 0$.

Proof. The proposition follows from Proposition 2.10 and Lemma 4.1. □

The following is obvious.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a pyramid. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{Sep}(t\mathcal{P}; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N) = t\,\mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N)$$

for any $t, \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N > 0$.

Definition 4.6 (Sep^D)**.** For a pyramid $\mathcal{P}$ and for positive real numbers $\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N$, and D, we set

$$\mathrm{Sep}^D(\mathcal{P}; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N) := \min\{\, \mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N), D \,\}.$$

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}'$ be two pyramids. If we have*

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}; N+1, R) \subset U_\varepsilon(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}'; N+1, R))$$

for a natural number N and for two real numbers $\varepsilon, R > 0$, then

$$\mathrm{Sep}^{2R}(\mathcal{P}; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N) \leq \mathrm{Sep}^{2R}(\mathcal{P}'; \kappa_0 - \varepsilon, \kappa_1 - \varepsilon, \dots, \kappa_N - \varepsilon) + 2\varepsilon$$

for any $\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N > \varepsilon$.

Proof. We take any $\delta > 0$ and any mm-space $X \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $0 < r < \mathrm{Sep}^{2R}(X; \kappa_0 - \delta, \dots, \kappa_N - \delta)$. There are Borel subsets $A_0, \dots, A_N \subset X$ such that $\mu_X(A_i) \geq \kappa_i - \delta$ and $d_X(A_i, A_j) \geq r$ for any different i and j. Set $f_i(x) := \min\{\, d_X(x, A_i), r \,\}$ for $x \in X$, $F := (f_0, \dots, f_N) : X \to \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$, and $F^R := (f_0 - R, \dots, f_N - R) : X \to \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$. $F^R_* \mu_X$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}; N+1, R)$. By $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}; N+1, R) \subset U_\varepsilon(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P}'; N+1, R))$, there are an mm-space $Y \in \mathcal{P}'$ and a 1-Lipschitz map $G^R : Y \to (\mathbb{R}^{N+1}, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ such that $d_P(F^R_* \mu_X, G^R_* \mu_Y) < \varepsilon$. We find maps $g_i : Y \to \mathbb{R}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$, in such a way that $(g_0 - R, \dots, g_N - R) = G^R$. Setting $G := (g_0, \dots, g_N)$ we have $d_P(F_* \mu_X, G_* \mu_Y) < \varepsilon$. Let

$$B_i := \{\, g_i < \varepsilon,\ g_j > r - \varepsilon \text{ for any } j \neq i \,\} \subset Y.$$

We see that, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_Y(B_i) &= G_*\mu_Y(x_i < \varepsilon,\ x_j > r - \varepsilon \text{ for any } j \neq i)\\ &= G_*\mu_Y(U_\varepsilon(x_i \leq 0,\ x_j \geq r \text{ for any } j \neq i))\\ &\geq F_*\mu_X(x_i \leq 0,\ x_j \geq r \text{ for any } j \neq i) - \varepsilon\\ &= \mu_X(A_i) - \varepsilon \geq \kappa_i - \varepsilon - \delta.\end{aligned}$$

For any $y \in B_i$ and $y' \in B_j$ with $i \neq j$, we have

$$d_Y(y, y') \geq |g_i(y) - g_i(y')| > r - 2\varepsilon$$

and hence $d_Y(B_i, B_j) \geq r - 2\varepsilon$. Thus,

$$\mathrm{Sep}(Y; \kappa_0 - \varepsilon - \delta, \dots, \kappa_N - \varepsilon - \delta) \geq r - 2\varepsilon.$$

By the arbitrariness of r,

$$\mathrm{Sep}^{2R}(X; \kappa_0 - \delta, \dots, \kappa_N - \delta) \leq \mathrm{Sep}(Y; \kappa_0 - \varepsilon - \delta, \dots, \kappa_N - \varepsilon - \delta) + 2\varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof. □

Corollary 4.8. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}'$ be two pyramids. If $\rho_R(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}') < \frac{\varepsilon}{(N+1)2^{N+2}}$ for a natural number N and for two real numbers $\varepsilon, R > 0$, then*

$$\mathrm{Sep}^{2R}(\mathcal{P}; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N) \leq \mathrm{Sep}^{2R}(\mathcal{P}'; \kappa_0 - \varepsilon, \kappa_1 - \varepsilon, \dots, \kappa_N - \varepsilon) + 2\varepsilon$$

for any $\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N > \varepsilon$.

Proof. The corollary follows from Theorem 3.7(2) and Lemma 4.7. □

Theorem 4.9 (Limit formula for separation distance)**.** *Let $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, be pyramids. If $\mathcal{P}_n$ converges weakly to $\mathcal{P}$ as $n \to \infty$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} \liminf_{n \to \infty} \mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}_n; \kappa_0 - \varepsilon, \kappa_1 - \varepsilon, \dots, \kappa_N - \varepsilon)\\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}_n; \kappa_0 - \varepsilon, \kappa_1 - \varepsilon, \dots, \kappa_N - \varepsilon)\end{aligned}$$

for any $\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N > 0$.

Proof. The theorem is obtained in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3.12, by using Corollary 4.8. □

Proposition 4.10. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a pyramid. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}; -2\kappa) \leq \mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}; \kappa, \kappa) \tag{1}$$

$$\mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}; \kappa, \kappa) \leq \mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}; -\kappa') \tag{2}$$

for any real number κ and κ' with $0 < \kappa' < \kappa$.

Proof. Let $0 < \kappa' < \kappa$. We take a sequence of mm-spaces Y_n, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, approximating $\mathcal{P}$. Proposition 2.11 implies that, for any δ with $0 < \delta < \kappa$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ObsDiam}(Y_n; -2(\kappa + \delta)) &\leq \mathrm{Sep}(Y_n; \kappa + \delta, \kappa + \delta)\\ &\leq \mathrm{Sep}(Y_n; \kappa - \delta, \kappa - \delta).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{P}_{Y_n} \to \mathcal{P}$ as $n \to \infty$, applying Theorems 3.12 and 4.9 yields (1). (2) is proved in the same way. This completes the proof. □

5. N-Lévy Family

Definition 5.1 (N-Lévy family)**.** Let N be a natural number. A sequence of pyramids $\mathcal{P}_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, is called an *N-Lévy family* if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}_n; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N) = 0$$

for any $\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N > 0$ with $\sum_{i=0}^N \kappa_i < 1$. A 1-Lévy family is called a *Lévy family*.

Definition 5.2 ($\#\mathcal{P}$)**.** For a pyramid $\mathcal{P}$, we define

$$\#\mathcal{P} := \sup_{X\in\mathcal{P}} \#X \quad (\le +\infty),$$

where $\#X$ denotes the number of elements of X.

Lemma 5.3. *Let X be an mm-space, $\mathcal{P}$ a pyramid, and N a natural number. Then we have the following* (1) *and* (2)*.*

(1) *We have*
$$\mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N) = 0$$
for any $\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N > 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^N \kappa_i < 1$ if and only if $\#X \le N$.

(2) *We have*
$$\mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N) = 0$$
for any $\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N > 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^N \kappa_i < 1$ if and only if $\#\mathcal{P} \le N$.

Proof. We prove (1). The 'if' part is obvious. Let us prove the 'only if' part. It suffices to show that, if $\#X \ge N+1$, then $\mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N) > 0$ for some $\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N > 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^N \kappa_i < 1$.

If $\#X \ge N+2$, then we find different $N+2$ points $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N+1} \in X$ and set

$$r := \min_{i\ne j} d_X(x_i, x_j) > 0, \quad A_i := U_{r/3}(x_i), \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_i := \mu_X(A_i).$$

Note that each κ_i is positive. We have $\min_{i\ne j} d_X(A_i, A_j) \ge r/3$ by triangle inequalities, and therefore

$$\mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N) \ge r/3 > 0.$$

We also have $\sum_{i=0}^N \kappa_i \le 1 - \kappa_{N+1} < 1$.

If $\#X = N+1$, then we find real numbers $\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N$ such that $0 < \kappa_i < \min_j \mu_X(\{x_j\})$ for any i, where $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N\} := X$. We see $\sum_{i=0}^N \kappa_i < 1$. Since $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N$ are different to each other,

$$\mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N) > 0.$$

(1) has been proved.

We prove (2). The 'if' part is easy to prove. We prove the 'only if' part. Let $\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N > 0$ be any real numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^N \kappa_i < 1$. We assume

$$\mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N) = 0.$$

Then, for any mm-space $X \in \mathcal{P}$, we have, by Lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N) &= \lim_{\delta \to 0+} \mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0 - \delta, \dots, \kappa_N - \delta) \\ &\leq \lim_{\delta \to 0+} \sup_{Y \in \mathcal{P}} \mathrm{Sep}(Y; \kappa_0 - \delta, \dots, \kappa_N - \delta) \\ &= \mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

which together with (1) implies $\#X \leq N$. By the arbitrariness of X we obtain $\#\mathcal{P} \leq N$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Definition 5.4 (Extended mm-space)**.** We consider to generalize the definition of an mm-space such that the metric is allowed to take values in $[\,0, +\infty\,]$. We call such a space an *extended mm-space*. We define the Lipschitz order $\prec$ between extended mm-spaces in the same manner, and define the *pyramid* $\mathcal{P}_X$ *associated with an extended mm-space* X by

$$\mathcal{P}_X := \{\, X' \in \mathcal{X} \mid X' \prec X \,\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{P}_X$ is a pyramid for any extended mm-space X. For an extended mm-space X and a real number $D > 0$, we define $X^D := (X, d_{X^D}, \mu_X)$, where $d_{X^D}(x, y) := \min\{d_X(x, y), D\}$ for $x, y \in X$. Then, X^D is an mm-space belonging to $\mathcal{P}_X$. We observe that $\mathcal{P}_X$ coincides with the $\square$-closure of $\bigcup_{0<D<+\infty} \mathcal{P}_{X^D}$.

Proposition 5.5. *We have $\#\mathcal{P} < +\infty$ if and only if there exists a finite extended mm-space X such that $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_X$. In this case, we have $\#X = \#\mathcal{P}$.*

Proof. Note that the number of elements $\#X$ is monotone nondecreasing in X with respect to the Lipschitz order. We easily see that $\#X = \#\mathcal{P}_X$ for any extended mm-space. In particular, we have the 'if' part of the proposition. We prove the 'only if' part. Assume that $N := \#\mathcal{P} < +\infty$. Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of mm-spaces approximating $\mathcal{P}$. There is a natural number n_0 such that $\#X_n = N$ for any $n \geq n_0$. Since $X_1 \prec X_2 \prec \cdots \prec X_n \prec \dots$, we find 1-Lipschitz maps $f_n : X_{n+1} \to X_n$ which pushes $\mu_{X_{n+1}}$ forward to μ_{X_n}. For $n \geq n_0$, the map f_n is bijective. Let $\{x_1^n, x_2^n, \dots, x_N^n\} := X_n$ such that $f_n(x_i^{n+1}) = x_i^n$ for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and $n \geq n_0$. We see that $\mu_{X_n}(\{x_i^n\})$ is independent of $n \geq n_0$ and that $d_{X_n}(x_i^n, x_j^n)$ is monotone nondecreasing in $n \geq n_0$ for any $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$ be an N-point space and define an (extended) mm-structure of X by

$$d_X(x_i, x_j) := \lim_{n \to \infty} d_{X_n}(x_i^n, x_j^n)\ (\leq +\infty) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_X(\{x_i\}) := \mu_{X_n}(\{x_i^n\})$$

for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and $n \geq n_0$. Then we have $X_n \prec X$ for any n and therefore $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}_X$. The rest of the proof is to show $\mathcal{P}_X \subset \mathcal{P}$. Let $D > 0$ be any number. Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_{X_n^D}(x_i^n, x_j^n) = d_{X^D}(x_i, x_j)$ for any $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, we see that X_n^D $\square$-converges to X^D as $n \to \infty$, which together with $X_n^D \in \mathcal{P}$ implies $X^D \in \mathcal{P}$. Since $\mathcal{P}_X$ coincides with the $\square$-closure of $\bigcup_{0<D<+\infty} \mathcal{P}_{X^D}$, we have $\mathcal{P}_X \subset \mathcal{P}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Combining the statements proved before, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of pyramids converging weakly to a pyramid $\mathcal{P}$, and N a natural number. Then, the following* (1) *and* (2) *are equivalent to each other.*

(1) *$\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ is an N-Lévy family.*
(2) *There exists a finite extended mm-space X with $\#X \leq N$ such that $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_X$.*

Proof. Theorem 4.9 and Lemma 5.3(2) together prove the equivalence between (1) and $\#\mathcal{P} \leq N$. These are also equivalent to (2) due to Proposition 5.5. This completes the proof. $\square$

For a compact (weighted) Riemannian manifold M, we denote by $\lambda_N(M)$ the N-th nonzero eigenvalue of the (weighted) Laplacian on M. Since a sequence of compact (weighted) Riemannian manifolds M_n, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, is an N-Lévy family if $\lambda_N(M_n) \to +\infty$ as $n \to \infty$ (see [5, Corollary 4.3]), we have the following corollary to Theorem 5.6.

Corollary 5.7. *Let $\{M_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of compact (weighted) Riemannian manifolds such that $\lambda_N(M_n) \to +\infty$ as $n \to \infty$ for a natural number N. Then, there exist a subsequence $\{M_{n_i}\}$ of $\{M_n\}$ and a finite extended mm-space X with $\#X \leq N$ such that $\mathcal{P}_{M_{n_i}}$ converges weakly to $\mathcal{P}_X$ as $i \to \infty$.*

Let $*$ denote a one-point mm-space. Note that $\mathcal{P}_* = \{*\}$.

Corollary 5.8. *Let $\mathcal{P}_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, be pyramids. Then, the following* (1)*,* (2)*, and* (3) *are equivalent to each other.*

(1) *$\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ is a Lévy family.*
(2) *$\mathcal{P}_n$ converges weakly to $\mathcal{P}_*$ as $n \to \infty$.*
(3) *$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}_n; -\kappa) = 0$ for any $\kappa > 0$.*

Proof. '(1) $\iff$ (2)' follows from Theorem 5.6. '(1) $\iff$ (3)' follows from Proposition 4.10, where we use the monotonicity of $\mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}; \kappa_0, \kappa_1)$ in κ_0, κ_1. This completes the proof. $\square$

As an application of Theorem 5.6, we prove the following corollary, which is an extension of [5, Theorem 4.4]. The proof here is much easier than that in [5].

Corollary 5.9. *Let $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an N-Lévy family of pyramids such that*

$$\mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}_n; -\kappa) < +\infty \tag{5.1}$$

for any κ with $0 < \kappa < 1$ and for any n. Then we have one of the following (1) *and* (2)*.*

(1) *$\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ is a Lévy family.*
(2) *There is a subsequence $\{\mathcal{P}_{n_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ and a sequence of real numbers t_i with $0 < t_i \le 1$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$, such that $t_i\mathcal{P}_{n_i}$ converges weakly to $\mathcal{P}_X$ for some finite mm-space X with $2 \le \#X \le N$.*

Note that the observable diameter of an mm-space is always finite, so that (5.1) holds for any sequence of mm-spaces.

Proof. Let $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an N-Lévy family of pyramids that is not a Lévy family. Taking a subsequence of $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$, we assume that $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ converges weakly to a pyramid $\mathcal{P}$. By Theorem 5.6, there is a finite extended mm-space Y such that $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_Y$ with $2 \le \#Y \le N$. Take a real number κ with $0 < \kappa < \min_{y\in Y} \mu_Y(\{y\})$. If $\mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}_n; -\kappa)$ is bounded from above for all n, then Theorem 3.12 implies the finiteness of $\mathrm{ObsDiam}(Y; -\kappa') = \mathrm{diam}(Y)$ for $0 < \kappa' < \kappa$, so that Y is an mm-space and we have the theorem. Assume that $\mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}_n; -\kappa)$ is unbounded. Replacing $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ with a subsequence we assume that $\mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}_n; -\kappa) \ge 1$ for any n. Setting $t_n := \mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}_n; -\kappa)^{-1}$, we have $0 < t_n \le 1$ for any n by (5.1). We replace $\{t_n\mathcal{P}_n\}$ with a weakly convergent subsequence of it. By Theorem 5.6, there is a finite extended mm-space X such that $t_n\mathcal{P}_n$ converges weakly to $\mathcal{P}_X$ as $n \to \infty$. Since $t_n\mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_n$, we have $\mathcal{P}_X \subset \mathcal{P}_Y$ and so $X \prec Y$. In particular, $\kappa < \min_{x\in X} \mu_X(\{x\})$. Therefore, applying Theorem 3.12 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{diam}(X) &= \mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}_X; -\kappa) \\
&= \lim_{\delta\to 0+} \liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{ObsDiam}(t_n\mathcal{P}_n; -(\kappa+\delta)) \\
&= \lim_{\delta\to 0+} \liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}_n; -(\kappa+\delta))}{\mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}_n; -\kappa)} \le 1,
\end{aligned}$$

so that X is an mm-space. Moreover, since $\mathrm{ObsDiam}(t_n\mathcal{P}_n; -\kappa) = 1$, $\{t_n\mathcal{P}_n\}$ is not a Lévy family (see Corollary 5.8) and X consists of at least two points. This completes the proof. □

6. Dissipation and Phase Transition Property

Definition 6.1 (Dissipation)**.** Let $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of pyramids and let $0 < \delta \le +\infty$. We say that $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ *δ-dissipates* if

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}_n; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_N) \ge \delta$$

for any $\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N > 0$ with $\sum_{i=0}^N \kappa_i < 1$. We say that $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ *weakly dissipates* if

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}_n; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N) > 0$$

for any $\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N > 0$ with $\sum_{i=0}^N \kappa_i < 1$.

Definition 6.2 (Dissipated pyramid)**.** Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a pyramid and let $0 < \delta \le +\infty$. $\mathcal{P}$ is said to be *δ-dissipated* if $\mathcal{P}$ contains all mm-spaces with diameter $\le \delta$. We say that $\mathcal{P}$ is *weakly dissipated* if

$$\mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N) > 0$$

for any $\kappa_0, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N > 0$ with $\sum_{i=0}^N \kappa_i < 1$.

Note that $\mathcal{P}$ is ∞-dissipated if and only if $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{X}$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of pyramids converging weakly to a pyramid $\mathcal{P}$, and let $0 < \delta \le +\infty$. Then, the following* (1) *and* (2) *are equivalent to each other.*

(1) *$\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ δ-dissipates (resp. weakly dissipates).*
(2) *$\mathcal{P}$ is δ-dissipated (resp. weakly dissipated).*

Proof. The lemma for the weak dissipation follows from Theorem 4.9.

We prove the lemma for the δ-dissipation. Theorem 4.9 implies that (1) is equivalent to the following:

(3) For any $\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N > 0$ with $\sum_{i=0}^N \kappa_i < 1$, we have

$$\mathrm{Sep}(\mathcal{P}; \kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N) \ge \delta.$$

There is a sequence of mm-spaces X_n, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, apprioximating $\mathcal{P}$. (3) is equivalent to

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Sep}(X_n; \kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N) \ge \delta$$

for any $\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N > 0$ with $\sum_{i=0}^N \kappa_i < 1$. Due to [13, Proposition 8.5], this is equivalent to (2). The proof is completed. □

Definition 6.4 (Phase transition property)**.** Let $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of pyramids. We say that $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ has the *phase transition property* if there exists a sequence of positive real numbers c_n, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, satisfying the following (1) and (2).

(1) For any sequence of positive numbers t_n with $t_n/c_n \to 0$, the sequence $\{t_n\mathcal{P}_n\}$ is a Lévy family.
(2) For any sequence of positive numbers t_n with $t_n/c_n \to +\infty$, the sequence $\{t_n\mathcal{P}_n\}$ ∞-dissipates.

We call such a sequence $\{c_n\}$ a sequence of *critical scale order*. We say that a sequence of mm-spaces X_n has the *phase transition property* if so does $\{\mathcal{P}_{X_n}\}$.

Remark 6.5. (1) of Definitnion 6.4 is equivalent to

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{ObsDiam}(c_n\mathcal{P}_n; -\kappa) < +\infty$$

for any κ with $0 < \kappa < 1$. (2) is equivalent to the weak dissipation property of $\{c_n\mathcal{P}_n\}$.

The following is a key to the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 6.6. *Let $\kappa, \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_N$ be any positive real numbers with $N \geq 1$ such that*

$$1 - \frac{1}{N}\left(1 - \sum_{i=0}^{N} \kappa_i\right) \leq \kappa < 1.$$

Then we have

$$\mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_N) \geq \mathrm{ObsDiam}(X; -\kappa)$$

for any mm-space X.

Proof. We take any real number r with $0 < r < \mathrm{ObsDiam}(X; -\kappa)$ and fix it. There is a 1-Lipschitz function $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathrm{diam}(f_*\mu_X; 1-\kappa) > r$. We then have the following:

(∗) If a Borel subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $\mathrm{diam}(A) \leq r$, then $f_*\mu_X(A) < 1 - \kappa$.

We define real numbers $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_N$ inductively by

$$a_0 := \inf\{\, a \in \mathbb{R} \mid f_*\mu_X(\,-\infty, a\,] \geq \kappa_0 \,\},$$
$$a_i := \inf\{\, a \geq a_{i-1} + r \mid f_*\mu_X[\,a_{i-1} + r, a\,] \geq \kappa_i \,\},\ i = 1, 2, \ldots, N.$$

We check the well-definedness of $a_i's$. It is clear that a_0 is defined as a (finite) real number since $0 < \kappa_0 < 1$. Assume that $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_k$ for a number $k \leq N-1$ are defined as real numbers. We are going to check that a_{k+1} is defined as a real number. For that, it suffices to prove

$$f_*\mu_X[\,a_k + r, +\infty\,) > \kappa_{k+1}. \tag{6.1}$$

By the definition of a_i, we have $f_*\mu_X(\,-\infty, a_0\,) \leq \kappa_0$ and $f_*\mu_X[\,a_{i-1} + r, a_i\,) \leq \kappa_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Also, (∗) implies $f_*\mu_X[\,a_i, a_i + r\,] < 1 - \kappa$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$. We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} f_*\mu_X(\,-\infty, a_k + r\,) &\le \sum_{i=0}^{k} f_*\mu_X[\,a_i, a_i + r\,] + f_*\mu_X(\,-\infty, a_0\,) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{k} f_*\mu_X[\,a_{i-1} + r, a_i\,) \\ &< N(1-\kappa) + \sum_{i=0}^{k} \kappa_i \\ &\le 1 - \sum_{i=k+1}^{N} \kappa_i, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (6.1).

Setting

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &:= (\,-\infty, a_0\,], \\ A_i &:= [\,a_{i-1} + r, a_i\,] \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \end{aligned}$$

we have $f_*\mu_X(A_i) \ge \kappa_i$ and $d_{\mathbb{R}}(A_i, A_j) \ge r$ for $i \ne j$, so that

$$\mathrm{Sep}((\mathbb{R}, f_*\mu_X); \kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_1) \ge r.$$

Since $(\mathbb{R}, f_*\mu_X) \prec X$ and by Proposition 2.10, we have

$$\mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_1) \ge r.$$

By the arbitrariness of r, this completes the proof. □

Lemma 6.7. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a pyramid. Then the following* (1) *and* (2) *are equivalent to each other.*

(1) *$\mathcal{P}$ is weakly dissipated.*
(2) $\mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}; -\kappa) > 0$ *for any κ with $0 < \kappa < 1$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that (1) is equivalent to the following:

(1') For any $\kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N > 0$ with $\sum_{i=0}^{N} \kappa_i < 1$, there is an mm-space $X \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa_0, \dots, \kappa_N) > 0$.

Also, (2) is equivalent to the following:

(2') For any κ with $0 < \kappa < 1$ there is an mm-space $X \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\mathrm{ObsDiam}(X; -\kappa) > 0$.

We prove (1') $\Longrightarrow$ (2'). For any given κ with $0 < \kappa < 1$, we take κ' with $0 < \kappa' < \min\{\kappa, 1/2\}$. Proposition 2.11 implies

$$\mathrm{ObsDiam}(X; -\kappa) \ge \mathrm{Sep}(X; \kappa', \kappa'),$$

which is positive for some $X \in \mathcal{P}$ by (1'). We obtain (2').

The implication (2') $\Longrightarrow$ (1') follows from Lemma 6.6.

This completes the proof of the proposition. □

Lemma 6.8. *Let $\mathcal{P}_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, be pyramids. Then the following* (1) *and* (2) *are equivalent to each other.*

(1) *$\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ weakly dissipates.*
(2) *We have*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}_n; -\kappa) > 0$$

for any κ with $0 < \kappa < 1$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.9 and the compactness of Π that (1) holds if and only if for any weakly convergent subsequence of $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$, its weak limit is weakly dissipated. By Lemma 6.7, this is equivalent to that for any weakly convergent subsequence of $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$, its weak limit, say $\mathcal{P}$, satisfies $\mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}; -\kappa) > 0$ for any κ with $0 < \kappa < 1$, which is also equivalent to (2) by Theorem 3.12 and the compactness of Π. This completes the proof. □

Using Lemma 6.8, we present:

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\{c_n\}$ be a sequence of positive real numbers. (1) of Definition 6.4 is equivalent to

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{ObsDiam}(c_n\mathcal{P}_n; -\kappa) < +\infty \tag{6.2}$$

for any κ with $0 < \kappa < 1$. (2) of Definition 6.4 is equivalent to the weak dissipation property of $\{c_n\mathcal{P}_n\}$, which is, by Lemma 6.8, also equivalent to

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{ObsDiam}(c_n\mathcal{P}_n; -\kappa) > 0 \tag{6.3}$$

for any κ with $0 < \kappa < 1$. Since

$$\mathrm{ObsDiam}(c_n\mathcal{P}_n; -\kappa) = c_n \,\mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}_n; -\kappa),$$

we obtain the theorem. □

Remark 6.9. We have another way to prove Theorem 1.2 by generalizing Lemma 6.6 for a pyramid. However, this is essentially same as above.

Corollary 6.10. *Let $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ be a sequence of pyramids with the phase transition property and $\{c_n\}$ a sequence with critical scale order. If a sequence $\{t_n\}$ of positive real numbers satisfies $t_n \sim c_n$, then $\{t_n\mathcal{P}_n\}$ neither is a Lévy family nor ∞-dissipates.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the corollary for $t_n := c_n$ because of Propositions 3.4 and 4.5.

It follows from (6.3) that $\{c_n\mathcal{P}_n\}$ is not a Lévy family.

By (6.2) and Proposition 4.10, $\{c_n\mathcal{P}_n\}$ does not ∞-dissipates. This completes the proof. □

Proof of Corollary 1.3. We have

$$\mathrm{ObsDiam}(X_n; -\kappa) \sim 1/\sqrt{n}$$

for $X_n = S^n(1), \mathbb{R}P^n, \mathbb{C}P^n, \mathbb{H}P^n$ (see [14, Corollaries 5.8 and 5.11] for $S^n(1)$ and $\mathbb{C}P^n$; the same proof works for $\mathbb{R}P^n$ and $\mathbb{H}P^n$). Theorem 1.2 proves the phase transition property for $\{S^n(1)\}$, $\{\mathbb{R}P^n\}$, $\{\mathbb{C}P^n\}$, and $\{\mathbb{H}P^n\}$.

Since the Ricci curvature of $SO(n)$ is $\sim n$, we have

$$\mathrm{ObsDiam}(SO(n); -\kappa) \le O(1/\sqrt{n})$$

(see [13, §2.5]). By $S^n(1) \prec SO(n)$, we also have a lower bound of $\mathrm{ObsDiam}(SO(n); -\kappa)$, so that

$$\mathrm{ObsDiam}(SO(n); -\kappa) \sim 1/\sqrt{n}.$$

This together with Theorem 1.2 leads us to the phase transition property for $\{SO(n)\}$. Since $S^n(1) \prec V_k(\mathbb{R}^n) \prec SO(n)$, we have the phase transition property for $\{V_{k_n}(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$. The proofs for $\{SU(n)\}$, $\{Sp(n)\}$, $\{V_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}^n)\}$, and $\{V_{k_n}(\mathbb{H}^n)\}$ are in the same way. This completes the proof. □

Definition 6.11. Let $\alpha > 0$. An mm-space is said to be *α-atomic* if it has an atom with mass $\ge \alpha$. A pyramid $\mathcal{P}$ is said to be *α-atomic* if any mm-space $X \in \mathcal{P}$ is α-atomic. A pyramid (resp. an mm-space) is *atomic* if it is α-atomic for some $\alpha > 0$. A pyramid (resp. an mm-space) is *non-atomic* if it is not atomic.

Proposition 6.12. *Let $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$ be a sequence of pyramids with the phase transition property and $\{c_n\}$ a sequence of critical scale order for $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}$. Then, the limit, say $\mathcal{P}$, of any weakly convergent subsequence of $\{c_n\mathcal{P}_n\}$ satisfies*

$$0 < \mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}; -\kappa) < +\infty \tag{6.4}$$

for any κ with $0 < \kappa < 1$. In particular, $\mathcal{P}$ is non-atomic.

Proof. It follows from the phase transition property and Theorem 1.2 that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{ObsDiam}(c_n\mathcal{P}_n; -\kappa) > 0,$$
$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{ObsDiam}(c_n\mathcal{P}_n; -\kappa) < +\infty$$

for any κ with $0 < \kappa < 1$. These inequalities together with Theorem 3.12 implies (6.4).

We prove that $\mathcal{P}$ is non-atomic. We see that if an mm-space X is α-atomic for a real number $\alpha > 0$, then

$$\mathrm{ObsDiam}(X; -\kappa_\alpha) \le \mathrm{diam}(X; 1 - \kappa_\alpha) = 0$$

for $\kappa_\alpha := 1 - \alpha$. Therefore, if $\mathcal{P}$ is α-atomic for some $\alpha > 0$, then we have $\mathrm{ObsDiam}(\mathcal{P}; -\kappa_\alpha) = 0$, which is a contradiction to (6.4). This completes the proof. □

References

[1] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of probability measures*, 2nd ed., Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics: Probability and Statistics, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1999. A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

[2] V. I. Bogachev, *Measure theory. Vol. I, II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2007.

[3] D. Burago, Y. Burago, and S. Ivanov, *A course in metric geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 33, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.

[4] G. Elek, *Sampling and observables. Invariants of metric measure spaces.* preprint.

[5] K. Funano and T. Shioya, *Concentration, Ricci curvature, and eigenvalues of Laplacian*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 23 (2013), Issue 3, 888-936.

[6] M. Gromov and V. D. Milman, *A topological application of the isoperimetric inequality*, Amer. J. Math. **105** (1983), no. 4, 843–854.

[7] M. Gromov, *Metric structures for Riemannian and non-Riemannian spaces*, Reprint of the 2001 English edition, Modern Birkhäuser Classics, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2007. Based on the 1981 French original; With appendices by M. Katz, P. Pansu and S. Semmes; Translated from the French by Sean Michael Bates.

[8] A. S. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 156, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[9] M. Ledoux, *The concentration of measure phenomenon*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 89, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.

[10] P. Lévy, *Problèmes concrets d'analyse fonctionnelle. Avec un complément sur les fonctionnelles analytiques par F. Pellegrino*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1951 (French). 2d ed.

[11] V. D. Milman, *The heritage of P. Lévy in geometrical functional analysis*, Astérisque **157-158** (1988), 273–301. Colloque Paul Lévy sur les Processus Stochastiques (Palaiseau, 1987).

[12] R. Ozawa and T. Shioya, *Estimate of observable diameter of l_p-product spaces.* preprint.

[13] T. Shioya, *Metric measure geometry–Gromov's theory of convergence and concentration of metrics and measures.* preprint.

[14] ———, *Metric measure limit of spheres and complex projective spaces.* preprint.

Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, JAPAN